

1
2
3
4
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7

8 MERCY AMBAT, *et al.*,

No. C 07-03622 SI

9 Plaintiffs,

**ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR
CLARIFICATION**

10 v.


11 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,

12 Defendant.
13 _____/

14 On September 2, 2011, following a hearing, the Court granted plaintiffs' motion for attorney's
15 fees in the amount of \$8,925. On September 7, 2011, the Court received a letter from plaintiffs seeking
16 clarification of the Court's reasoning behind the amount. While not explicitly styled as a motion for
17 reconsideration, that is essentially what it is. In its September 2nd order, the Court adequately explained
18 its reasoning behind the fees granted. To the extent plaintiffs are moving for reconsideration, their
19 request is DENIED.
20

21 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**
22

23 Dated: September 19, 2011



SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge